Gratification Theory

Uses and gratifications theory attempts to explain the uses and functions of the media for individuals, groups, and society in general.

The theoretical foundation of this theory lies in the social origin of need. I use the media with an active approach, and it is tough to spend time watching television, so usually, I search news online through YouTube, Twitter or blogs or typing the topic into Google and look for the various results.

I do sometimes read important international newspapers on my iPad, but then I always try to verify the news from other sources.

I admit it is becoming pretty confusing. Bloggers and YouTube do their best to broadcast news with an opposite point of view of the national press and television reporters. However, both national newspapers and television newsrooms do not broadcast opinions. They can only broadcast substantiated facts.

Sometimes the alternative media can make sense, but there are times when it appears there is a conspiracy of the Lobbies and the government for each topic or item that exists on the earth, from the milk to the toothbrush.

Apparently, the effort of providing contrary information to what the Big channels broadcast is becoming the unique selling point for those small bloggers and YouTube Journalists. And it looks like the success of those independent forms of media is directly proportional to the conspiracy theories they can discover or to the capacity to entirely differ from the original news generated from the known channels.

This attempt as we discussed last week is very valuable and gives excellent potential concerning freedom to this generation, but then other issues surface. If the only way to

get noticed is to be entirely different from the original news, we risk that the information will be distorted and twisted just to satisfy their private agenda.

The only way I encourage others in my field to use and interact with media outlets is to send them links from the various sources. Some of my employees are older, and it's difficult to explain and convince them of the importance to communicate through or take advantage of the new media.

Also, I feel there are time restraint factors, and we cannot force other parties that are engaged in business relationships with us to communicate through new mediums, even when is not the most efficient form of communication. Our need for gratification cannot lead us to be abusive and disrespectful towards other people needs. Sometimes a phone call or an email can do the work without the necessity of getting too fancy about simple communications. People have different needs. I satisfy my cognitive need by participating in online courses, forums, and blogs. My diversion need is gratified on YouTube listening to music or other topics that can distract me.

Personal relationship and Personal integrative needs in my case are not that strong I would guess probably are satisfied using LinkedIn, but I need to admit that my personality and characteristics do not really meet the gratification criteria through social media about that particular need.

The need for recognition and self-esteem is satisfied in my private life, and I am happy I don't feel like interacting with social media, for example through Facebook, to give out personal information.

I will report a sentence I read during my research that connects with the example that Dr.Braddock did in her Lecture of

Facebook is like giving somebody a stage and an audience and that's one way it can bring out and magnify narcissism," (

For example, my Facebook page is managed by some of my employees, and I sometimes log in, so I don't miss the ability to analyze the phenomena. I could use it eventually for business purposes, but it's really annoying for me to have my life displayed on it. It seems that I do not belong to myself anymore. The issue here is that I notice not only that Facebook users tend to satisfy their narcissism, but they just engage with profiles that exhibit similar behavior. If I only write about business or other economic topics, people get bored and don't engage, so my Facebook profile is not successful. To attract users, I need to copy their style and their behavior. So I will think about posting pictures of my Ferrari, of my yacht, of all the places I regularly visit around the world, and all the cool stuff I do. This is the only way to build a consistent number of followers from which I can eventually use for business purposes

An excellent example of the gratification theory comes from the Harlem video. Viewers thought at the onset that the videos were products of individuals for entertainment purposes. In the end, they discovered that the video was the creation of YouTube and Twitter for self-promotion.

George Miller created phenomena when he filmed himself and his friends performing a dance he called the "Harlem Shake" when in fact it was not the Harlem Shake at all. This inspired others from Florida and Australia to make their own versions and post them on YouTube with limited success. Actual record labels and professional disk jockeys took up the gauntlet and made, even more, versions, showed them on YouTube and promoted them with their Twitter accounts.

The use of YouTube and Twitter by corporations to push the "Harlem Shake" videos propel into the viral sphere is a prime example of the Uses and Gratifications PR theory. Corporate

executives saw the potential for these mediums to fill specific entertainment needs and thus grow profits exponentially. Everyone bought into the action which made the video the sensation that it became.

"Harlem Shakes" took a different route to fame than other videos. Generally, videos are made by studio professionals, and then students make their own versions and parodies. In this instance, the students made the videos first and then Google investors, Marker Studios and the Mad Decent record label jumped on the bandwagon and reaped the rewards of the "Harlem Shale's" viral success.



Theory of Mass Communications (Adorno)

Adorno and Horkheimer were some of the first scholars to critically engage with the proliferation of new forms of mass communications of the early 20th century.

They argued that, in modern capitalist society, the increasing commodification of culture had transformed culture itself into a crucial medium of ideological domination, and a vital means by which the capitalist order itself was maintained." (Max Klinger)

Behind Adorno and Horkheimer's work, there is a refusal of the modern capitalist society. On the one hand, they support the Marxism framework analysis and identify it as exploitative toward the human being and hence they recognize that must be overthrown. They argued that capitalism was doomed to be replaced by socialism. In their arguments, they also sustained that social and cultural factors played as important a role as economics in oppression. As Dr. Braddock mentions in this lecture, the difference with Neo-Marxism is that there is a belief that values and society can be shaped by people that do not belong to the Elite and do not have economic power. Naturally, if we could make an analogy with today's communication media tools, those theories make much more sense if we think of the capacity of individual bloggers to challenge the communications of the Elite that have substantial economic resources.

To analyze Adorno and Horkheimer's quote expressed in the lecturer's presentation, we need to contextualize it in that during that period they witnessed the emergence of new forms of mass media and entertainment industry. It makes sense that such a revolution made a profound impact in a society that was strongly influenced by these new media's features. They thought that industrial culture would result in commodification. They believed this was the natural result of capitalism. Further, they would argue that what they considered the culture industry would bind the audience to the status quo and have altered culture itself into a philosophical vehicle of control.

Their idea is that culture or art can abide by certain social conditions providing an alternate vision of reality. They did recognize the emancipatory force of art but only when it can be autonomous and independent. Therefore, they basically acknowledged that art does change historically. In the era of a capitalistic monopoly, they believed that new techniques of production and distribution of art meant that the free

circulation of cultural products that had once characterized the middle-class era had come to an end.

Adorno and Horkheimer believed that the growth of the culture industry homogenized and restructured cultural form. By doing this, the individual was limited to being able to think for himself. The cultural form made him a conformist and a follower.

I think one example can be found in Hollywood. The movie's industry always had the intention to make a considerable profit. Hollywood was producing films with the sole idea of optimizing benefits by appealing to exact tastes of particular groups. This way the viewer is not required to think to be entertained. In essence, the style and the form of those films were identical to each other. Good examples are the old Western themes and the romantic comedies. Even if there were better promotions and a list of different movies with different characters, the truth was that everything was preclassified by the production team. The audience had no choice but to become a passive, unreceptive recipient of the art.

The mass media are, in classical Marxist terms, a 'means of production' which in capitalist society are in the ownership of the ruling class. One example can be found in my country the fact where Berlusconi, who was the head of the government and still is the owner of the major communication media and has ownership of more than 60% of the TV-channels and newspaper of the country. By controlling the media, he manages and manipulates the advertisements for political purposes and sometimes for a favorite industry or brand in which he might have economic interests. All this reminds me a bit of the propaganda theory studied last week, in particular, the bandwagon effect in which individuals will do something because others are doing it and they want to feel part of that community. So the elite can promote values and ideas to convince a group of people. If through these manipulations the group is loved and successful, the bandwagon effect itself will do the rest and "help" and "push" some consumers to buy a particular product.

Reference & Source Links:*

- https://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/bandwagoneffect.html
- https://www.iep.utm.edu/adorno/
- https://www.cym.ie/documents/chandler.pdf
- https://themaxklinger.wordpress.com/2012/11/27/a-summary -of-adorno-and-horkheimers-slightly-interesting-andstaggeringly-pretentious-views-on-art/

*As external links, all will open individually in a new browser window/tab.

Survey - Acai Berry

I spent a lot of time thinking the topic of this survey. I guess the topic could have been anything, but I want to make sure that my exercise makes sense to the reader. I did use Qualtrics, and I think it is impressive.

I am an entrepreneur, and I have several companies in different fields. I have intentions to invest in a health-food store chain, specializing in serving natural acai berry bowls.

When invest in my endeavor I will I will look for more specific criteria mainly related to the city in which I will be opening my first shop. The act of a business getting random results from a random population on the web makes no sense; however, due to the nature of the survey which is for academic purpose only, I could make one that could at least give me some insight.

I did notice a significant trend towards natural and healthy habits and products in recent years. I want to verify that the millennium generation is also caring about this or better which age group is more sensitive to eating healthy food. Identifying the age brackets will help to have an idea on which social media to put more effort the marketing campaign.

Acai berry is particularly good for breakfast mixed with banana, strawberry or mango and other fruit. It can be combined with natural chocolate, Guaraní, granola, honey, etc. I want to see if people will be willing to leave the Starbucks habit to pursue a healthier breakfast.

Acai berry is not very well-known, so I want to first check the knowledge of the product. I believe those who have tried acai will be much more willing to change breakfast habits.

An average acai bowl will be costing around \$8. I would like to check the willingness of people to spend their money for breakfast based on their income. I assume that this product is particularly good for people with incomes higher than \$90-\$100K. Those people, mainly in the USA, are experiencing a significant transformation in eating habits and there is evidence that the trend of people moving toward a vegan choice and raw natural organic food is increasing. The purpose of the survey was to confirm some of the assumptions.

The Random simple and snowball sample would not have been indicated for this survey. I used this approach because it was the easiest for academic purposes. However, when I will do the final one for my business, I will hit specific locations and specific categories of people to understand if my business will fit in the area so my approach will be a Quota sample.

The income question is essential in my research. But as we studied, it is a bit awkward to openly ask for income brackets, and people tend to lie about it. So I devised three specific questions from which without openly asking so that we

can surmise the income brackets.

The last result, in particular, will be good in understanding which targets segment in my online campaign or based on age which social media should be used to get more results.

I tried my best to make the survey funny and entertaining. When I conduct my real one, I will probably use a different strategy, but because I wanted to familiarize myself with the software I tried various things.

One of the scopes of the survey design is avoiding surveyed people dropping during the survey. I used some pictures with food to make it more fun.

I also used the skip logic tool that was mentioned in the Lecture, in question number 5.

One of the aims of the study was to understand when people were more inclined to eat acai. This is important to understanding the working hours of the shop which will have an impact on the cost of the structure but also the location. We had some assumptions that usually fit people or people that work to gain a better weight tend to eat it before training. Having a shop next to a nice big gym eventually could be a strategic value.

Noteworthy to mention is the idea I had about the final question.

Have a feedback from the people on the survey, asking them openly if they got bored or had fun.

This is an excellent opportunity to have a direct feedback and make me think how to improve my next survey.

I did get confused on this assignment deadline. I did think that there was only one post due today, and then I realized that it was two posts that I was to have completed. I apology for that.

Thanks.